

Lecture 9

Finiteness results in non-semisimple case

Yongquan Hu

Morningside Center of Mathematics

Essen Spring School

April 30, 2021

- 1 GK dimension of $\pi_v(\bar{r})$
- 2 Generation by $D_0(\bar{\rho})$
- 3 Finite length when $f = 2$

Notation. Keep (mostly) the notation in previous lectures.

- $K =$ unramified extension over \mathbb{Q}_p of degree f ;
- $\mathcal{O}_K =$ integers of K , $\mathbb{F}_q \cong \mathcal{O}_K/p$;
- $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(K)$, $Z =$ center ;
- $B = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$, $\bar{B} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$, $T = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$;
- $I =$ Iwahori, $I_1 =$ pro- p -Iwahori, $H := \begin{pmatrix} [\mathbb{F}_q^\times] & 0 \\ 0 & [\mathbb{F}_q^\times] \end{pmatrix} \cong I/I_1$;
- $K_1 = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q))$, $Z_1 = Z \cap K_1$;
- $(E, \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{F})$: for coefficients of representations.

Assumptions

Throughout the lecture, $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ will be **reducible non-split**

$$\bar{\rho} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_f^{\sum_{i=0}^{f-1} p^i(r_i+1)} & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $3 \leq r_i \leq p - 6$. Set

$$\sigma_0 := (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{f-1})$$

called “ordinary” Serre weight. From [Lecture 3](#), $\sigma_0 \in W(\bar{\rho})$.

Let $\pi_v(\bar{r}) =$ admissible smooth \mathbb{F} -representation of G in mod p cohomology (cf. [Lecture 1](#)) with $\bar{r}|_{F_v} \cong \bar{\rho}$. Assume $r = 1$ (i.e. minimal case). Keep global technical conditions in [Lecture 8](#).

Some useful facts

- (1) $\mathrm{JH}(\mathrm{Ind}_I^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)} \chi_{\sigma_0}) \cap W(\bar{\rho}) = \{\sigma_0\}$.
- (2) let $\pi_0 := \langle G \cdot \sigma_0 \rangle$, then π_0 is principal series and $\mathrm{soc}_G \pi_v(\bar{r}) = \pi_0$.
- (3) **(Le)** $\pi_v(\bar{r})^{K_1} \cong D_0(\bar{\rho})$.
- (4) If $\mathrm{Ext}_K^1(\sigma, \pi_v(\bar{r})) \neq 0$ for some Serre weight σ , then $\sigma \in W(\bar{\rho})$.
- (5) **(H., Breuil-Ding)** $\mathrm{Ord}_B(\pi_v(\bar{r}))$ is semisimple (as T -rep.).

Remark. The point is that this excludes the following possibility :

$$(\pi_0 - \pi_0) \hookrightarrow \pi_v(\bar{r}).$$

Emerton has defined a functor of **ordinary parts** :

$$\mathrm{Ord}_B : \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathrm{sm}}(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathrm{sm}}(T)$$

- an adjunction isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \tau, \pi) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_T(\tau, \mathrm{Ord}_B \pi).$$

- $\mathrm{Ord}_B(\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \tau) \cong \tau$; $R^{f+1}\mathrm{Ord}_B(-) = 0$.

Let π^{ord} be the image of

$$\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \mathrm{Ord}_B \pi \rightarrow \pi.$$

Theorem 1 (H.-Wang)

The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $\pi_v(\bar{r})$ is f .

As in [Lecture 6](#), can deduce that $\pi_v(\bar{r})^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay module of grade $2f$ (over $\Lambda := \mathbb{F}[[I_1/Z_1]]$), and essentially self-dual.

Strategy of the proof :

- (1) Show $[\pi_v(\bar{r})[\mathfrak{m}_{K_1}^2] : \sigma_0] = 1$.
- (2) Show $[\pi_v(\bar{r})[\mathfrak{m}_{K_1}^2] : \sigma] = 1$ for any $\sigma \in W(\bar{\rho})$.
- (2') Show $[\pi_v(\bar{r})[\mathfrak{m}_h^3] : \chi] = 1$ for any $\chi \in \pi_v(\bar{r})^{h_1}$.
- (3) As in [Lecture 6](#), deduce $\text{GK}(\pi_v(\bar{r})) \leq f$ (need to use results of [BHHMS1]).
Conclude by Gee-Newton.

Steps (2), (2')

These steps are purely representation theoretic.

Proposition 2

Let $\bar{\rho}$ be as above, π be an admissible smooth \mathbb{F} -rep. of G satisfying :

- (a) $\pi^{K_1} \cong D_0(\bar{\rho})$;
- (b) if $\text{Ext}_K^1(\sigma, \pi) \neq 0$ for some Serre weight σ , then $\sigma \in W(\bar{\rho})$;
- (c) there exists one $\sigma_0 \in W(\bar{\rho})$ such that $[\pi[\mathfrak{m}_{K_1}^2] : \sigma_0] = 1$.

Then the following hold :

- (i) $[\pi[\mathfrak{m}_{K_1}^2] : \sigma] = 1$ for any $\sigma \in W(\bar{\rho})$;
- (ii) $[\pi[\mathfrak{m}_I^3] : \chi] = 1$ for any $\chi \in \pi^I$.

Proof. Recall : the diagram $(D_1(\bar{\rho}) \hookrightarrow D_0(\bar{\rho}))$ is indecomposable by [Lecture 3](#).

Define two sets as follows :

$$\Sigma_0 := \{\sigma \in W(\bar{\rho}) : [\pi[\mathfrak{m}_{K_1}^2] : \sigma] = 1\}$$

$$\Sigma_1 := \{\chi \in \pi^{I_1} : [\pi[\mathfrak{m}_{I_1}^3] : \chi] = 1\}.$$

Σ_1 is stable under the action of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \rho & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (the one induced from $D_1(\bar{\rho})$).

- Use (a) (b) to show : if $\chi \in D_{0,\sigma}(\bar{\rho})^{I_1}$, then $\chi \in \Sigma_1$ if and only if $\sigma \in \Sigma_0$. Obtain a direct summand subdiagram.

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\chi \in \Sigma_1} \chi \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} D_{0,\sigma}(\bar{\rho}) \right)$$

- By (c), this is nonzero, hence equals to the whole thing.

Step (1) : Show $[\pi_v(\bar{r})[\mathfrak{m}_{K_1}^2] : \sigma_0] = 1$.

Let $\Gamma := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ so that $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma] \cong \mathbb{F}[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)/Z_1]/\mathfrak{m}_{K_1}$. Let

$$\tilde{\Gamma} := \mathbb{F}[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)/Z_1]/\mathfrak{m}_{K_1}^2.$$

Let $\mathrm{Proj}_\Gamma \sigma_0$, resp. $\mathrm{Proj}_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \sigma_0$ be a projective envelope of σ_0 for Γ -representations, resp. $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -representations.

Have

$$\mathrm{Proj}_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \sigma_0 \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Proj}_\Gamma \sigma_0.$$

Need to show

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}(\mathrm{Proj}_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \sigma_0, \pi_v(\bar{r})) \stackrel{?}{=} 1.$$

Let M_∞ (and R_∞) be a *minimal* patching functor for $\bar{\rho}$ (cf. [Lecture 8](#)), e.g. take

$$M_\infty(-) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}^{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathbb{M}_\infty, -^d)^d$$

for a minimal patched module \mathbb{M}_∞ .

Recall that $\mathbb{M}_\infty/\mathfrak{m}_\infty \cong \pi_v(\bar{r})^\vee$, so we have

$$M_\infty(\Theta)/\mathfrak{m}_\infty \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}(\Theta, \pi_v(\bar{r}))^\vee.$$

Equiv. to show

Theorem 3

The R_∞ -module $M_\infty(\mathrm{Proj}_{\bar{r}}\sigma_0)$ is cyclic.

Recall gluing lemma 2 of [Lecture 8](#) :

Given finite dim. $\mathbb{F}[[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)]]$ -modules Θ_1, Θ_2 which admit a common quotient Θ_0 , form the fiber product

$$\Theta_1 \times_{\Theta_0} \Theta_2.$$

Apply $M_\infty(-)$ to get

$$0 \rightarrow M_\infty(\Theta_1 \times_{\Theta_0} \Theta_2) \rightarrow M_\infty(\Theta_1) \times M_\infty(\Theta_2) \rightarrow M_\infty(\Theta_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

Assume both $M_\infty(\Theta_1), M_\infty(\Theta_2)$ are cyclic R_∞ -modules with annihilator l_1, l_2 (hence so is $M_\infty(\Theta_0)$ with annihilator l_0), then

$$M_\infty(\Theta_1 \times_{\Theta_0} \Theta_2) \text{ is cyclic} \iff l_1 + l_2 = l_0.$$

Roughly, we glue $\text{Proj}_\Gamma \sigma_0$ with an ordinary part of $\text{Proj}_{\bar{\Gamma}} \sigma_0$:

- $\Theta_1 := \text{Proj}_\Gamma \sigma_0$.

Theorem (Le) The R_∞ -module $M_\infty(\text{Proj}_\Gamma \sigma_0)$ is cyclic.

- $\Theta_0 := \text{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{F}_q)}^\Gamma \chi_{\sigma_0}$ (a quotient of Θ_1).
- $\Theta_2 :=$ **ordinary part** of $\text{Proj}_{\bar{\Gamma}} \sigma_0$.

Fact. There exists a (unique) quotient Θ_2 of $\text{Proj}_{\bar{\Gamma}} \sigma_0$ such that :

$$0 \rightarrow \sigma_0^{\oplus f} \rightarrow \Theta_2 \rightarrow \Theta_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Structure of Θ_i

Cyclicity of $M_\infty(\Theta_2)$

Lemma

If $\text{JH}(\Theta_0) \cap \text{soc}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}\pi = \{\sigma_0\}$ and $\text{Ord}_B(\pi)$ is semisimple, then the projection $\Theta_2 \twoheadrightarrow \sigma_0$ induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}(\sigma_0, \pi) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}(\Theta_2, \pi).$$

Roughly, if a morphism $\beta : \Theta_2 \rightarrow \pi$ does not factor through $\Theta_2 \rightarrow \sigma_0$, then $\langle G.\text{Im}(\beta) \rangle$ will contain a non-split extension

$$\pi_0 \text{ --- } \pi_0$$

which contradicts **Fact (5)**.

Proof of $l_1 + l_2 = l_0$

Can work locally : replace R_∞ with $R_{\bar{\rho}}$

- have an explicit description of l_0 (Fontaine-Laffaille) and l_1 (Le);
- the action of R_∞ on $M_\infty(\Theta_2)$ factors through $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{red}}$ (:=reducible deformation ring), i.e.

$$I^{\text{red}} \subset l_2.$$

Fact. For π locally admissible s.t. $\text{JH}(\Theta_0) \cap \text{soc}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}(\pi) = \{\sigma_0\}$, then

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}(\Theta_2, \pi^{\text{ord}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}(\Theta_2, \pi).$$

- show $I^{\text{red}} + l_2 = l_0$, by comparing tangent spaces.

Example. $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ (with fixed determinant) is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}[[X_i, Y_i, Z_i : 1 \leq i \leq f]]$.

- If $W(\bar{\rho}) = \{\sigma_0\}$,

$$l_0 = (\varpi, Y_i, Z_i), \quad l_1 = (\varpi, Y_i, Z_i(Z_i - \rho)), \quad l^{\text{red}} = (\varpi, Z_i).$$

- If $|W(\bar{\rho})| = 2$, then for some j

$$l_1 = (\varpi, Y_j Z_j (Z_j - \rho), Z_i (Z_i - \rho); i \neq j).$$

Remark. Although $\Theta_1 \times_{\Theta_0} \Theta_2$ is smaller than $\text{Proj}_{\bar{r}} \sigma_0$, the cyclicity of $M_\infty(\Theta_1 \times_{\Theta_0} \Theta_2)$ implies that of $M_\infty(\text{Proj}_{\bar{r}} \sigma_0)$.

- 1 GK dimension of $\pi_v(\bar{r})$
- 2 Generation by $D_0(\bar{\rho})$
- 3 Finite length when $f = 2$

The main result of this section is :

Theorem 4 (H.-Wang)

As a G -representation, $\pi_v(\bar{r})$ is generated by $D_0(\bar{\rho})$.

Corollary

We have $\text{End}_G(\pi_v(\bar{r})) = \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. Taking restriction gives

$$\text{End}_G(\pi_v(\bar{r})) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{DLAG}}(D_1(\bar{\rho}) \hookrightarrow D_0(\bar{\rho}))$$

which is injective by **Theorem 3**. From [Lecture 3](#), the target is isomorphic to \mathbb{F} . \square

Example/Motivation

Take $f = 1$, so $\pi_v(\bar{r}) \cong (\pi_0 - \pi_1)$. Let $\Omega \cong \text{Inj}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)/Z_1} \sigma_0$ together with a smooth action of G and assume $\pi_v(\bar{r}) \hookrightarrow \Omega$ (cf. [BP]).

Paškūnas : the G -socle filtration of Ω looks like :

$$\text{soc}_G^2 \Omega \quad \pi_v(\bar{r}) \oplus \pi_v(\bar{r}) \oplus \pi_v(\bar{r})$$

$$\text{soc}_G^1 \Omega \quad \pi_v(\bar{r}) \oplus \pi_v(\bar{r})$$

$$\text{soc}_G^0 \Omega \quad \pi_v(\bar{r})$$

Theorem : Let $\mathcal{E} := \text{soc}_G^{\leq 1} \Omega$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_G^1(\pi_v(\bar{r}), \pi_v(\bar{r})) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(\sigma_0, \pi_v(\bar{r})) \xleftarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}^1(\sigma_0, D_0(\bar{\rho})) \\ \uparrow \mathcal{E} & \nearrow \mathbb{R} & \end{array}$$

Corollary : if $\pi \subset \Omega$ with $\pi[\mathfrak{m}_{K_1}^2]$ multiplicity free, then $\pi = \pi_v(\bar{r})$.

Proof. Note : $\pi = \pi_v(\bar{r})$ if and only if $\pi \cap \mathcal{E} = \pi_v(\bar{r})$.

If $\pi \cap \mathcal{E} \supsetneq \pi_v(\bar{r})$, then some non-split extension

$$[\pi_v(\bar{r}) \text{ --- } \pi_v(\bar{r})] \hookrightarrow \pi$$

which implies

$$[D_0(\bar{\rho}) \text{ --- } \sigma_0] \hookrightarrow \pi|_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}.$$

Hence $[\pi[\mathfrak{m}_{K_1}^2] : \sigma_0] \geq 2$. □

The proof of Theorem 4

The starting point is :

Lemma 5

The G -cosocle of $\pi_v(\bar{r})$ is an irreducible PS, say π_f .

Proof.

- By **Fact** (2), $\text{soc}_G \pi_v(\bar{r}) = \pi_0$ is PS ;
- As remarked, $\text{GK}(\pi_v(\bar{r})) = f$ implies that $\pi_v(\bar{r})^\vee$ is essentially self-dual ;
- Recall Thm of Kohlhaase : $E^{2f}(\pi_0^\vee)$ is again PS.

Criterion

Let $\tau \subset \pi_v(\bar{r})|_I$. If for **some** i , **some** $\chi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$, the composition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_I^i(\chi, \tau) & & \\ \downarrow \beta_i & & \\ \text{Ext}_I^i(\chi, \pi_v(\bar{r})) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} & \text{Ext}_I^i(\chi, \pi_f) \end{array}$$

is non-zero, then $\pi_v(\bar{r})$ can be generated by τ as G -representation.

We will find some χ , i , τ such that “Criterion” applies.

How to choose χ, i and τ ?

Assume $W(\bar{\rho}) = \{\sigma_0\}$ for simplicity. Know the following information :

- $\text{Ext}_I^i(\chi, \pi_v(\bar{r})) \neq 0$ if and only if $\chi \in \pi_v(\bar{r})^{h_1}$ and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Ext}_I^i(\chi, \pi_v(\bar{r})) = \binom{2f}{i}.$$

This suggests to take : $\chi = \chi_{\sigma_0}$ (the ordinary character).

- π_f has injective dimension $2f$, and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Ext}_I^i(\chi, \pi_f) = \begin{cases} 0 & i < f \\ \binom{f}{2f-i} & f \leq i \leq 2f \end{cases}$$

This suggests to take $i = 2f$.

- The multiplicity-freeness of $\pi_v(\bar{r})[\mathfrak{m}_{I_1}^3]$ suggests : if take $\tau = \pi_v(\bar{r})[\mathfrak{m}_{I_1}^2]$ then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Ext}_I^1(\chi, \tau) = 2f$$

and the map

$$\beta_1 : \text{Ext}_I^1(\chi, \tau) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_I^1(\chi, \pi_v(\bar{r}))$$

is an isomorphism.

In summary, in the diagram of "Criterion"

$$\mathrm{Ext}_I^i(\chi, \tau) \xrightarrow{\beta_i} \mathrm{Ext}_I^i(\chi, \pi_\nu(\bar{\rho})) \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} \mathrm{Ext}_I^i(\chi, \pi_f)$$

take

- $\chi = \chi_{\sigma_0}$
- $i = 2f$
- $\tau =$ a **variant** of $\pi_\nu(\bar{\rho})[\mathfrak{m}_h^2]$.

Show

- (1) γ_{2f} is an isomorphism (easier);
- (2) β_{2f} is a surjection for any $0 \leq i \leq 2f$. Actually, inductively show β_i is surjective for any $0 \leq i \leq 2f$.

Step (2) : β_i is surjective

To deduce injectivity of β_{2f} from that of β_0, β_1 , need :

Key ingredient : $\pi_v(\bar{r})^\vee|_I$ admits a **Koszul complex** projective resolution, as M_∞ is flat over R_∞ (which is regular) and $M_\infty/\mathfrak{m}_\infty \cong \pi_v(\bar{r})^\vee$.

Example. when $f = 1$, $\pi_v(\bar{\rho}) = (\pi_0 - \pi_1)$, Paškūnas shows :

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^\vee \xrightarrow{(-y; x)} \Omega^\vee \oplus \Omega^\vee \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}} \Omega^\vee \rightarrow \pi_v(\bar{r})^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider the following situation : $(R, \mathfrak{m}) =$ noetherian local ring,
 $\underline{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $x_i \in \mathfrak{m}$. Assume

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & K_2 & \longrightarrow & K_1 & \longrightarrow & K_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta}_2 & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta}_1 & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta}_0 \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & F_2 & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \longrightarrow & F_0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where

- $K_\bullet = K_\bullet(\underline{x}, R)$ is Koszul complex, with $K_i \cong R^{\binom{n}{i}}$
- $F_\bullet =$ complex of free R -modules.

Lemma (Serre)

Assume

- x_1, \dots, x_n are linearly independent mod \mathfrak{m}^2 ;
- $\tilde{\beta}_0 : K_0 \rightarrow F_0$ is a direct summand.

Then $\tilde{\beta}_i : K_i \rightarrow F_i$ is a direct summand for all $0 \leq i \leq n$.

In practice, can **not** take $R = R_\infty$ in Serre's lemma, as R_∞ does not act on an injective resolution of τ .

To solve this, let $\lambda := (\text{Proj}_I \chi^\vee) / \mathfrak{m}_I^3$ so that

$$\text{End}_I(\lambda) \cong \mathbb{F}[x_i, y_i; 0 \leq i \leq f-1] / (x_i, y_i)^2.$$

Choose minimal projective resolutions :

$$Q_\bullet \rightarrow \tau^\vee, \quad K_\bullet \rightarrow \pi_v(\bar{r})^\vee$$

with K_\bullet being Koszul, get morphisms $\text{Hom}_I(K_\bullet, \lambda)^\vee \rightarrow \text{Hom}_I(Q_\bullet, \lambda)^\vee$ of $\text{End}_I(\lambda)$ -modules, and

- Serre's lemma applies with $R := \text{End}_I(\lambda)$. Actually get $\tilde{\beta}_i$ are isomorphisms.
- $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R \text{Hom}_I(Q_\bullet, \lambda)^\vee$ recovers $\text{Hom}_I(Q_\bullet, \chi^\vee)^\vee$.

- 1 GK dimension of $\pi_v(\bar{r})$
- 2 Generation by $D_0(\bar{\rho})$
- 3 Finite length when $f = 2$

Theorem 6

If $\bar{\rho}$ is reducible non-split, then

$$\pi_0 \text{ --- } \pi' \text{ --- } \pi_f$$

with π_0, π_f principal series. If moreover $f = 2$, then π' is supersingular.

Already known : the G -socle of $\pi_v(\bar{r})$ is π_0 and G -cosocle is π_f .

Assume $f = 2$. Need to show π' is irreducible and supersingular.

Proof.

- (1) $\pi_v(\bar{r})/\pi_0$ contains (at least) one supersingular factor, using that “ $\text{Ord}_B(\pi_v(\bar{r}))$ is semi-simple” and

Lemma 1 ([BP,§8])

If π is (irred.) non-supersingular and $\pi \neq \pi_0$, then $\text{Ext}_G^1(\pi, \pi_0) = 0$.

- (2) Show that the G -socle of $\pi_v(\bar{r})/\pi_0$ is irreducible, say π_1 . Namely,

$$(\pi_0 \text{ --- } \pi_1) \hookrightarrow \pi_v(\bar{r}).$$

Let Q be the quotient, **Need to show** : $Q \cong \pi_2$.

(3) Using **Theorem 4** to show Q can be generated by σ_2 .

Lemma 2

Let Q be an **admissible** quotient of $I(\sigma_2) := \text{c-Ind}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)Z}^G \sigma_2$. Assume the G -cosocle of Q is irreducible and isomorphic to

$$\pi_2 := I(\sigma_2)/(T - \lambda)$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^\times$. Then

$$Q \cong I(\sigma_2)/(T - \lambda)^n, \quad \text{some } n \geq 1.$$

(4) Show $n = 1$ by self-duality of $\pi_v(\bar{r})$.

Proof of Lemma 2. Let $V := \text{Ker}(Q \twoheadrightarrow \pi_2)$. If $V \neq 0$ then we claim $\text{Hom}_G(\pi_2, V) \neq 0$. By Barthel-Livné, $0 \rightarrow I(\sigma_2) \rightarrow I(\sigma_2) \rightarrow \pi_2 \rightarrow 0$, so

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(\pi_2, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}(\sigma_2, V) \xrightarrow{T-\lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}(\sigma_2, V).$$

If $\text{Hom}_G(\pi_2, V) = 0$, then $T - \lambda$ is an isomorphism and get an injection

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_G^1(\pi_2, V) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}^1(\sigma_2, V).$$

However, the (non-zero) extension class $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \pi_2 \rightarrow 0$ inside $\text{Ext}_G^1(\pi_2, V)$ is sent to zero under κ , because Q is a quotient of $I(\sigma_2)$ (which induces $\sigma_2 \hookrightarrow Q|_{\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)}$). A contradiction.

The above argument still works for any nonzero quotient of Q , showing that any JH factor of Q is isomorphic to π_2 . Conclude by Barthel-Livné.

Thank you!